



TITLE:

A SURVEY OF KNOWN RESULTS ON 1-GENUS 1-BRIDGE KNOTS (On Heegaard Splittings and Dehn surgeries of 3-manifolds, and topics related to them)

AUTHOR(S):

Hayashi, Chuichiro

CITATION:

Hayashi, Chuichiro. A SURVEY OF KNOWN RESULTS ON 1-GENUS 1-BRIDGE KNOTS (On Heegaard Splittings and Dehn surgeries of 3-manifolds, and topics related to them). 数理解析研究所講究録 2001, 1229: 10-18

ISSUE DATE:

2001-09

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/41439>

RIGHT:

A SURVEY OF KNOWN RESULTS ON 1-GENUS 1-BRIDGE KNOTS

日本女子大学 理学部 林忠一郎 CHUICHIRO HAYASHI
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
JAPAN WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY

We recall the definition of 1-genus 1-bridge knots. A properly imbedded arc t in a solid torus V is called *trivial* if it is boundary parallel, that is, there is a disc C imbedded in V such that $t \subset \partial C$ and $C \cap \partial V = \text{cl}(\partial C - t)$. We call such a disc a *cancelling disc* of the trivial arc t . Let M be a closed connected orientable 3-manifold, and K a knot in M . The knot K is called a *1-genus 1-bridge knot* in M if M is a union of two solid tori V_1 and V_2 glued along their boundary tori ∂V_1 and ∂V_2 and if K intersects each solid torus V_i in a trivial arc t_i for $i = 1$ and 2 . The splitting $(M, K) = (V_1, t_1) \cup_H (V_2, t_2)$ is called a *1-genus 1-bridge splitting* of (M, K) , where $H = V_1 \cap V_2 = \partial V_1 = \partial V_2$, the torus. We call also the splitting torus H a *1-genus 1-bridge splitting*. We say $(1, 1)$ -knots and $(1, 1)$ -splitting for short.

1-genus 1-bridge knots are very important in light of Heegaard splittings and Dehn surgeries as shown in the theorems below.

Theorem 0.1. (T. Kobayashi [15]) *Let M be a closed orientable connected 3-manifold of genus 2. Suppose that M admits a non-trivial torus decomposition. Then either (i) M is a union of an exterior of a $(1, 1)$ -knot and a Seifert fibered manifold over a disc with 2-exceptional fibers, or (ii)–(v), which we omit here.*

Let $(M, K) = (V_1, t_1) \cup_H (V_2, t_2)$ be a $(1, 1)$ -splitting. If there are an essential simple closed curve ℓ in the torus H and cancelling discs C_i of t_i in V_i for $i = 1$ and 2 such that $C_i \cap \ell = \emptyset$, then we say that the knot (M, K) has a *satellite diagram* on the $(1, 1)$ -splitting torus H . At this time, the knot K has a 1-bridge diagram on an annulus in H . We say that the satellite diagram is of meridional (resp. longitudinal) slope if ℓ is of meridional (resp. longitudinal) slope of V_1 or V_2 .

Theorem 0.2. (K. Morimoto and M. Sakuma [19]) *Let K be a satellite knot in the 3-sphere S^3 of tunnel number one. Then K is a satellite $(1, 1)$ -knot such that K has a satellite diagram of non-meridional and non-longitudinal slope on the $(1, 1)$ -splitting torus.*

It is well-known that all the $(1, 1)$ -knots are of tunnel number one.

Theorem 0.3. (D. Gabai [4]) *Let V be a solid torus, and K a knot in the interior of V . Suppose that a Dehn surgery on K yields a solid torus. Then K is a 1-bridge braid, that is, isotopic to a union of an arc α on ∂V and a trivial arc in a meridian disc D of V such that all the intersection points of α and ∂D are of the same sign.*

Note that K forms a $(1, 1)$ -knot when we imbed the 1-bridge braid (V, K) in a standard manner in a 3-manifold of genus 1.

Theorem 0.4. (A. Thompson [27]) *Let M be a closed connected orientable 3-manifold, and $M = W_1 \cup_H W_2$ a Heegaard splitting of genus 2. Suppose that this splitting has the disjoint curve property, that is, there are an essential simple closed curve ℓ in H and essential discs D_i of the handlebody W_i such that $\ell \cap (D_1 \cap D_2) = \emptyset$. Then M is non-hyperbolic or a result of a Dehn surgery on a $(1, 1)$ -knot.*

A SURVEY OF KNOWN RESULTS ON 1-GENUS 1-BRIDGE KNOTS

These theorems show that $(1, 1)$ -knots are important. There are many researches on $(1, 1)$ -knots as below. In the following, we assume that M is not homeomorphic to $S^2 \times S^1$ for simplicity.

Let V be a solid torus, and t a trivial arc in V . We call a disc D properly imbedded in V a t -compressing disc if D is disjoint from t and ∂D is essential in $\partial V - \partial t$.

Let $(M, K) = (V_1, t_1) \cup_H (V_2, t_2)$ be a $(1, 1)$ -splitting. The splitting is called K -reducible if there are t_i -compressing D_i in (V_i, t_i) for $i = 1$ and 2 such that $\partial D_1 = \partial D_2$ in H .

Theorem 0.5. (H. Doll [3]) *Let M be a closed connected orientable 3-manifold of genus 1, and (M, K) a $(1, 1)$ -knot. Then the next three conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) *The knot K is split, that is, the exterior of K contains an essential 2-sphere.*
- (2) *The $(1, 1)$ -splitting is K -reducible.*
- (3) *K is the trivial knot, that is, it bounds an imbedded disc in M .*

He has studied more general case of g -genus n -bridge knots.

Theorem 0.6. ([9]) *Let (S^3, K) be a $(1, 1)$ -knot. Then K is a trivial knot if and only if the $(1, 1)$ -splitting is K -reducible.*

Theorem 0.7. ([9], [13], [11]) *Let (M, K) be a $(1, 1)$ -knot. Then K is a core knot, that is, the exterior is a solid torus if and only if for $(i, j) = (1, 2)$ or $(2, 1)$ there are a meridian disc D of V_i such that $D \cap t_i = \emptyset$ and a cancelling disc C of t_j in V_j such that ∂C intersects ∂D transversely in a single point.*

Let V be a solid torus, and t a trivial arc in V . We call a meridian disc D of V a *meridionally compressing disc* if D intersects t transversely in a single point.

CHUICHIRO HAYASHI

Let $(M, K) = (V_1, t_1) \cup_H (V_2, t_2)$ be a $(1, 1)$ -splitting. The splitting is called *weakly K -reducible* if there are properly imbedded discs D_i in V_i for $i = 1$ and 2 such that $\partial D_1 \cap \partial D_2 = \emptyset$ in H .

Lemma 0.8. ([10]) *Let (M, K) be a $(1, 1)$ -knot. Suppose that the $(1, 1)$ -splitting is weakly K -reducible. Then either (1) K is a core knot in a lens space, (2) K is a (maybe trivial) 2-bridge knot in S^3 or (3) K is a composite knot of a core knot and a 2-bridge knot.*

Theorem 0.9. (H. Doll [3]) *Let K be a $(1, 1)$ -knot. If K is a composite knot, then the $(1, 1)$ -splitting is weakly K -reducible.*

Theorem 0.10. (T. Kobayashi and O. Saeki [16]) *Let K be a 2-bridge knot in the 3-sphere S^3 . Then any $(1, 1)$ -splitting of K is weakly K -reducible.*

Theorem 0.11. (K. Morimoto [18]) *Let K be a non-trivial non-core torus knot, where “torus” knot means that K can be isotoped into a Heegaard splitting torus. Then any $(1, 1)$ -splitting of K is cancellable, that is, there are cancelling discs C_i of t_i in V_i for $i = 1$ and 2 such that $\partial C_1 \cap \partial C_2 = \partial t_1 = \partial t_2$.*

We can push K along the discs C_1 and C_2 into the splitting torus.

Theorem 0.12. ([9]) *Let (M, K) be a $(1, 1)$ -knot. Suppose that K is a cabled knot, that is, there is a solid torus V in M such that $K \subset \partial V$ and that any meridian disc of V intersects K in two or more points. Then either (1) the $(1, 1)$ -splitting is K -reducible or weakly K -reducible, (2) K is a torus knot, or (3) K has a 1-bridge diagram on an annulus A in the splitting torus H such that each bridge is an essential arc in A .*

Theorem 0.13. ([10]) *Let (M, K) be a $(1, 1)$ -knot. Note that M may be a lens space. If K is a satellite knot, then the $(1, 1)$ -split admits a satellite diagram of a non-meridional non-longitudinal slope.*

A SURVEY OF KNOWN RESULTS ON 1-GENUS 1-BRIDGE KNOTS

Theorem 0.14. (H. Matsuda [17]) *Let (S^3, K) be a non-trivial $(1, 1)$ -knot. Suppose that K bounds a Seifert surface F of genus 1. Then either (1) K is a 2-bridge knot and F is a plumbing sum of two twisted unknotted annulus or (2) F is obtained from an essential annulus A in the $(1, 1)$ -splitting torus H by adding a twisted band along an essential arc in $H - \partial A$.*

Theorem 0.15. (M. Hirasawa and C. Hayashi [12]) *Let $(M, K) = (V_1, t_1) \cup_H (V_2, t_2)$ be a $(1, 1)$ -splitting. Let F' be a closed connected orientable surface of genus 2 imbedded in M such that K is contained in F' and that F' intersects the knot exterior in an incompressible and boundary incompressible surface. Then F' can be isotoped to intersect each solid torus V_i in zero or some number of ∂ -parallel annuli disjoint from K and one of the surfaces of four types (a)–(b) as below :*

- (a) ∂ -parallel once punctured torus which contains the arc t_i ,
- (b) an annulus A which is parallel to an annulus A' in ∂V , contains the arc t_i , and added a non-twisted band B along an essential arc in A' , so that $A \cup B$ forms a once punctured torus,
- (c) a pair of pants P such that P is ∂ -parallel in ∂V_i , that P contains the arc t_i , that precisely two components of ∂P is essential in ∂V , and that ∂t_i is contained in the other component of ∂P ,
- (d) an annulus Z which is parallel to an annulus Z' in ∂V , contains the arc t_i , and added a non-twisted band C along an inessential arc in A' , so that $Q = Z \cup C$ forms a pair of pants and that the inessential component of ∂Q contains ∂t_i .

These theorems are on $(1, 1)$ -splittings of special $(1, 1)$ -knots. How about $(1, 1)$ -splittings of general $(1, 1)$ -knots?

Following theorem helps study of $(1, 1)$ -splittings. This is a generalization of a result by H. Rubinstein and M. Scharlemann [22].

CHUICHIRO HAYASHI

Theorem 0.16. (T. Kobayashi and O. Saeki [16]) *Let M be a closed connected orientable 3-manifold. Let L be a link in M . Suppose that M has a 2-fold branched covering with the branched set L . Let H_i be a (g_i, n_i) -splitting of (M, L) for $i = 1$ and 2 . Suppose that the splittings are not weakly L -reducible. Then after an adequate isotopy H_1 and H_2 intersect each other transversely in a non-empty collection of L -essential loops, that is, none of the loops $H_1 \cap H_2$ bounds a disc D in H_1 or H_2 such that D is disjoint from L or intersects L in a single point.*

There are some notes on the above theorem.

- (1) A $(1, 1)$ -splitting is a special case of a (g, n) -splitting.
- (2) The condition “non-empty” is very important because we can isotope H_1 and H_2 to be disjoint from each other.
- (3) The projective space $\mathbb{R}P^3$ does not have a branched covering with the branched set a core knot, for example.
- (4) The author expect that the above theorem holds when there is not such a branched covering.

Theorem 0.17. ([11]) *Let M be the 3-sphere S^3 or a lens space. Let K be a knot in M . Let H_1 and H_2 be $(1, 1)$ -splitting tori of (M, K) . Suppose that H_1 and H_2 intersect each other transversely in a non-empty collection of K -essential loops. Then after an adequate isotopy either*

- (1) H_1 and H_2 are isotopic to each other in (M, K) ,
- (2) one of the splittings H_1 and H_2 is weakly K -reducible,
- (3) K is a satellite knot, or
- (4) H_1 and H_2 intersect each other transversely in 1 or 2 K -essential loops.

Theorem 0.18. ([11]) *In case (4) in the previous theorem, after an adequate isotopy at least one of the next four conditions (a)–(d) holds.*

- (a) One of (1)–(3) in the conclusion of the previous theorem holds.
- (b) (M, K) is a sum of two tangles (B, T) and (X, S) as below. (B, T)

A SURVEY OF KNOWN RESULTS ON 1-GENUS 1-BRIDGE KNOTS

is a trivial 2-string tangle. X is a once punctured lens space and S is a disjoint union of two arcs s_1 and s_2 properly imbedded in X such that $E_i = \text{cl}(X - N(s_i))$ is a solid torus and that s_j is parallel to the boundary ∂E_i for $(i, j) = (1, 2)$ or $(2, 1)$. The $(1, 1)$ -splitting torus H_i is obtained from ∂X by applying a tubing operation along the arc s_i for $i = 1$ and 2 .

(c) One of the splittings H_1 and H_2 admits a satellite diagram of a longitudinal slope.

(d) There is a solid torus V in M as below. The exterior of the solid torus is also a solid torus. The knot K intersects V in two arcs. There are disjoint union of two discs D_1 and D_2 in ∂V as below. There are disjoint union of two balls B_1 and B_2 such that $B_i \cap V = D_i$, that $K \cap B_i$ is an arc, that K intersects the solid torus $V \cup B_i$ in a trivial arc, and that H_i is isotopic to $\partial V \cap B_i$ for $i = 1$ and 2 .

In case (c), the knot K is obtained from a component L_1 of a 2-bridge link $L_1 \cup L_2$ by a Dehn surgery on the other component L_2 .

The author is not satisfied with the conclusion (d).

REFERENCES

1. J. Berge *The knots in $D^2 \times S^1$ with non-trivial Dehn surgery yielding $D^2 \times S^1$* , Topology Appl. 38 (1991) 1–19
2. D. H. Choi and K. H. Ko *On 1-bridge torus knots*, preprint
3. H. Doll, *A generalized bridge number for links in 3-manifolds*, Math. Ann. 294 (1992) 701–717.
4. D. Gabai *Surgery on knots in solid tori*, Topology 28 (1989) 1–6
5. D. Gabai *1-bridge braids in solid tori*, Topology Appl. 37 (1990) 221–235
6. H. Goda and C. Hayashi *Genus two Heegaard splittings of exteriors of 1-genus 1-bridge knots*, preprint
7. H. Goda, C. Hayashi and N. Yoshida *Genus two Heegaard splittings of exteriors of knot and the disjoint curve property*, to appear in Kobe J. Math.

CHUICHIRO HAYASHI

8. L. Grasselli and M. Mulazzani *Genus one 1-bridge knots and Dunwoody manifolds*, to appear in Forum Math.
9. C. Hayashi, *Genus one 1-bridge positions for the trivial knot and cabled knots*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 125 (1999), 53–65.
10. C. Hayashi *Genus one 1-bridge positions for the trivial knot and cabled knots*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 125 (1999) 53–65
11. C. Hayashi *Satellite knots in 1-genus 1-bridge positions*, Osaka J. Math. 36 (1999) 203–221
12. C. Hayashi and M. Hirasawa *Essential positions on Heegaard splitting genus two surface for 1-genus 1-bridge knots*, in preparation
13. J. Hempel *3-manifolds as viewed from the curve complex*, Topology 40 (2001), 631–657
14. P. Hoidn *On 1-bridge genus of small knots*, preprint
15. T. Kobayashi *Structures of the Haken manifolds with Heegaard splitting of genus two*, Osaka J. Math. 21 (1984) 437–455
16. T. Kobayashi and O. Saeki *Rubinstein-Scharlemann graphic of 3-manifold as the discriminant set of a stable map*, Pacific J. Math. 195 (2000) 101–156
17. H. Matsuda *Genus one knots which admit $(1,1)$ -decompositions*, to appear in Proc. Am. Math. Soc.
18. K. Morimoto *On minimum genus Heegaard splittings of some orientable closed 3-manifolds*, 321–355 (1989) 12 No.2 Tokyo J. Math.
19. K. Morimoto and M. Sakuma *On unknotting tunnels for knots*, Math. Ann. 289 (1991) 143–167
20. K. Morimoto, M. Sakuma and Y. Yokota *Examples of tunnel number one knots which have the property ' $1+1=3$ '*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 119 (1996) 113–118
21. M. Mulazzani *Cyclic presentations of groups and branched cyclic coverings of $(1,1)$ -knots*, preprint
22. H. Rubinstein and M. Scharlemann, *Comparing Heegaard splittings of non-Haken 3-manifolds*, Topology 35 (1996), 1005–1026.
23. T. Saito *Genus one 1-bridge knot as viewed from the curve complex*, in preparation
24. H. J. Song *Dunwoody $(1,1)$ -decomposable knots*, preprint
25. H. J. Song and K. H. Ko *Spatial θ -curve associated with Dunwoody $(1,1)$ -decomposable knots*, preprint

A SURVEY OF KNOWN RESULTS ON 1-GENUS 1-BRIDGE KNOTS

26. H. J. Song and S. H. Kim *Dunwoody 3-manifolds and $(1, 1)$ -decomposable knots*, preprint
27. A. Thompson *The disjoint curve property and genus 2 manifolds*, Topology Appl. 97 (1999) 237–279
28. Y-Q. Wu *∂ -reducing Dehn surgeries and 1-bridge knots* Math. Ann. 295 (1992) 319–331
29. Y-Q. Wu *Incompressible surfaces and Dehn surgery on 1-bridge knots in handlebodies*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 120 (1996) 687–696

〒 1 1 2 – 8 6 8 1

東京都文京区目白台 2 – 8 – 1

日本女子大学理学部数物科学科

林忠一郎

Chuichiro Hayashi:

Department of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, Faculty of Science,

Japan Women's University,

Mejiro, Toshima-ku, Tokyo, 171-8588, Japan.

e-mail : hayashic@fc.jwu.ac.jp